

### STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Initially, Applicant wishes to thank Examiners Doan and Truong for conducting an interview with Applicant's representative, Benjamin A. Keim, on January 10, 2008.

During the interview, Applicant's representative presented arguments distinguishing the claims from the Koved reference. In particular, Applicant's representative asserted that "one or more simulated stack walks that include two or more of said assemblies," as recited in dependent claims 10, 16, 23, 35, and 44 is not found in the Koved reference.

Also, Applicant's representative indicated where "managed code" was discussed in the specification, and the Examiners agreed to remove the §112, second paragraph rejection of claims 1-45.

The Examiners also suggested amendments to the claims and the specification in order to overcome the §101 rejections of claims 1-45. Applicant's representative understood that the Office would withdraw the §101 rejections if the claims were amended as discussed during the interview. Applicant amends the claims accordingly.

The Applicant's representative and the Examiners also discussed proposed amendments to the independent claims. Applicant's representative understood the Examiners to tentatively agree that the claims, amended as proposed during the interview would be allowable over at least the Koved reference. The Office indicates that an updated search is needed, and requests the proposed amendments in writing.

Accordingly, Applicant herein amends independent claims 1, 12, 19, 26, and 38 in the manner discussed during the interview, and all are believed to be allowable for at least the reasons discussed during the interview.